

Foothill College Academic Senate Minutes December 2, 2002 2:30 – 4:30 -Carriage House

President:	Larry Rouse 03
Vice President:	Verley O'Neal 03
Treasurer:	Roxanne Mendrinos 03
Curric. Co-Chair:	Shirley Treanor 03
I of I/TLC Chair:	Mike McHargue 03
Adaptive Learning:	Bea Cashmore 04
At Large Fac:	Larry Miller 04
Bio & Health Sci:	M.MacDougall 04
	Bonny LePape 03
Business & Soc. Sci:	Bill Tinsley 03
	Dolores Peterson 04
Counseling:	David Lee 04
CTIS:	Bob Cormia 04
Fine Arts:	Robert Hartwell 03
Language Arts:	Rich Morasci 02
	Paul Starer 03
Library Sciences:	Roxanne Mendrinos 04
PE & Human Perf:	Irv Ploke 03
	Dixie Macias 04
Phys Sci & Math:	Lori Silverman 03
	Patrick Morriss 04
Student Liaison	avad Aghamiri 03

Absent: Roxanne Mendrinos Larry Miller, Dixie Macias, Patrick Morriss

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes were approved as amended.

2. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

- a. Robert Cormia and Mike McHargue will serve on LOAN subcommittee.

3. COMMITTEE REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Board Meeting

Sandy Hay was against the plus / minus grading at the last board meeting. Three students from Foothill argued that plus / minus grading would have a negative impact on GPA, and potentially the vocational programs. We briefly discussed the fact that no one knew for sure if vocational programs would be impacted by plus / minus grading (a "C" grade might in fact be acceptable). Discussion also included the comment that faculty could use a Title 5 stipulation to force plus / minus grading on the board. The downside would be an adversarial relationship with the board. It was suggested that senators get much more in the way of comments from our faculty constituents about plus minus grading and email that to the board. We agreed that plus minus grading needs to be vigorously discussed at the division level. One senator stated that grading was a "primary reliance" issue, so it's really a faculty issue.

4. OLD BUSINESS

- a. **Academic Integrity +/- Grade Adoption**
See all the comments above under board meeting.

5. NEW BUSINESS

- a. **2004-2005/2005-2006 Academic Calendar**

A comment regarding the academic calendar and the possibility that FA will need to comment on it.

- b. **Hayward nominee**

We need to nominate a teacher for the Hayward award by Monday January 27th. Since this is approaching quickly, we discussed looking into the pool of previous nominees. Karl Knopf in Adaptive Learning was suggested as a great candidate, and he will be contacted.

- c. At large member for La Dawn Meade

LaDawn Meade in CTIS needs an “at large” member for her tenure committee. The group will try to find a faculty member who can fill this role for the duration of tenure process.

- d. LOAN / IPC

Leslie Dauer is joining the LOAN subcommittee. This had something to do with IPC accreditation / program review / learning review and 21st Century outcomes, so we need to put out a request to get a member for the IPC.

- e. Scholarships

We have an academic scholarship (Larry had a separate sheet) and a small amount of discussion involved making sure that a recipient of the transfer scholarship actually transferred. So we agreed that the transfer scholarship should be awarded but not dispersed until we are sure that the candidate will transfer. The vocational candidate should be in a program for at least 2 months. Dara Abrams Editorial scholarships go to someone on the editorial board, not just the editor-in-chief. A \$500 Hembrandt scholarship can go to any member of the Sentinel.

- f. Part-time faculty issues

Chris Storer – what are the expectations of the part-time faculty? We have 57 million dollars (state wide) to compensate for “non-parity” compensation. This became an energetic discussion revolving around the role of P/T faculty at Foothill. With 1.7 million (if it’s still there) the conversation started around what percentage (70 or 80 or 90) that P/T pay should be relative to a F/T faculty. Office hours, contributions to curriculum development, certificates and other F/T issues came up. We strenuously agreed that courses should **never** be listed as “not full service” if a P/T faculty member could not hold office hours.

This discussion clearly needs more time and structured debate. Additionally, the observation was made that across the college we don’t really list what’s expected of F/T faculty either. We clearly need to start having that discussion, because without that perspective, we can’t really (or fairly) understand proper compensation for P/T.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Academic Senate URL: <http://www.foothill.fhda.edu/senate>

