

**Academic Senate Meeting Minutes
Foothill College Carriage House
October 15, 2001 2:30-4:30 PM**

Members Present:

President:	Larry Rouse 01	Counseling:	Victoria Taketa 01
Vice President:	Marilyn McDonald 01	CTIS:	Greg McIlhiney 02
Treasurer:	Roxanne Mendrinis 01	Fine Arts:	Robert Hartwell 02
Curic Co-Chair:	Verley O'Neal 02	Language Arts:	Rich Morasci 02
I of I Chair:	Mike McHargue 02		Paul Starer 02
Adaptive Learning:	Bea Cashmore 01	Library Sciences:	Roxanne Mendrinis 02
At Large Fac:	Marilyn McDonald 02	PE & Human Perf.:	Irv Ploke 02
	Bonnie Le Pape 01		Ron Oburn 02
Bio & Health Sci.:	Phyllis Spragge 02	Phys. Sci. & Math:	Doli Bambhania
Business & Soc. Sci:	Bill Tinsley 01		Lori Silverman
	Dolores Peterson 02	Student Liaison:	

Members Absent:

None

Guests:

John Mummert

1. Call to Order:

Larry Rouse called the meeting to order at 2:30.

2. Approval of Minutes:

M/S/C Minutes for October 8th, October 1st and June 18th were approved.

3. Approval of Consent Calendar

The Consent Calendar was approved.

Carolyn Brown and Keith Lee will be members on Kristen Tripp's Tenure Review Committee.

Joe Ragey and Linda Lum will be members on Hilary Ciment's Tenure Review Committee.

Shawn Townes, and Jose Arenas (alt.) will represent the Senate on the Scheduling/Calendar Comm.

4. Committee Reports and Announcements

a. Introduction of John Mummert-Measure E Schedule Update

John Mummert, Director of Facilities under Alan Harvey. Previously, Associate Dean at the Monterey Language Institute, John Mummert was involved in the moving of faculty in six buildings while they were being renovated.

1. Perkins and Will

Perkins and Will are the architects working on the Master Plan. They are going to the board for Approval of the Master Plans. The Master Plan is almost completed. The Monte Vista faultline has greatly affected the master plan. Soil testing was not completed prior to the architectural renderings of new buildings. After the discovery of the faultline, plans had to be redrawn and the Master Plan had to be reworked.

2. Temporary Facilities

The temporary facilities located in student parking Lot 5 will be disruptive for faculty and

Students. While your Faculty offices, classrooms and labs on the main campus are being renovated, you will be relocated to the temporary facilities . John Mummert will issue specific guidelines for all faculty to follow while their offices are being renovated. By the year 2007, all temporary buildings on campus will be removed.

3. Campus Center

The campus center cannot be renovated because it must be 50-100 feet from the fault line. The bookstore and the Campus Security will also have to be torn down. The new campus center will be built near the old one and it will have the same square footage. It will include a Student Plaza. The campus center will be built in two phases. The bookstore and cafeteria will be built in phase II. There is enough money for completion of Phase I in the building plans.

However, Phase II monies are still to be determined. Meetings will take place to determine which Student Activities/Programs will be part of the Phase I Student Center. Money to rebuild the bookstore and cafeteria are not part of the Measure E funds.

4. Life Sciences Building

The Life Sciences building will be a new building located near the old staff house and below the bamboo gardens. This building will be a four story high brick building and only one story high on one end, closest to the staff parking lot. The height of the building will not exceed the height of the Language Arts building.

5. Student Services/Choral Building

The Student Services building will accommodate a combination of services such as a small theater, a choral classroom, student outreach, counseling and admissions/records.

6. Renovations and New Brick Building

State money allotted for Measure E will pay for the majority of the renovation of existing buildings. An additional brick building will be added to the Sciences and will include generic classrooms and an ultrasound area.

7. Childcare Center

The Childcare Center building is only temporary. It will be replaced with more parking spaces for students.

8. Senate Concerns

The following are several of the concerns which surfaced during the faculty discussion. According to Mummert, the college has already spent \$25 million dollars due to problems arising from the soil tests taken so late in the process, and the discovery and impact of the faultline under the new architectural renderings. The question arose, if the college is paying Gilbane \$15 million dollars to avoid costly mistakes, can the college recoup any of its losses? Soil tests are part of the College Archives.

There was also concern that similar mistakes not be repeated. Seventy-six dollars remains in the Measure E Budget after the Master Plan goes out to bid. This is not taking into account monies needed if the bids come in higher for construction. This may not materialize due to the state of the economy.

Concern was also raised that the area of new buildings near the loop road was very dense in comparison to the rest of the campus. Mummert stated that this concern has also been raised by the architects.

- a. Will the loop road be a one way or two-way access road? How can we reorient the loop road? Mummert stated that this will have to be addressed first since it will impact all building including the CFI.

The entry to the College needs to be redesigned and there is a question of whether to have a one way or two way road around the perimeter of the college. There is need for emergency and Handicap access to the college. Rerouting the perimeter road may assist with parents dropping off students and make for better access to the new buildings.

- b. We do not know what is going to happen with the Foothill Foot bridge. Will it need to be demolished because it is on the faultline and may be hazardous for the flow of traffic? How will we keep the PE division connected to the main campus?
- c. Faculty members expressed a concern about the safety issues while walking across the Foothill Campus in the evening. A void will exist in the center of campus, when the Counseling department, Admissions and Records offices and outreach all move into the new Student Services building. Lighting and safety issues in this area will need to be addressed with Measure E funds for walkways on the campus and to the parking lots.
- d. Can the old trellis area located between the bookstore and police services be relocated when the new campus center is built.?
- e. There is concern in some divisions about using new office furniture by some faculty who recently bought new furniture for their offices. This gives their office space a unique character. Mummert stated two office furniture selections will be available to faculty. Concern was raised as to what can a faculty member expect when they move back into their office, ie. new painting, spackling, and furniture choices.

9. Mummert gave brief guidelines at this time for the faculty move.

What faculty need to do to get prepared for office moves

1. First move everything out of your offices
2. Label all boxes with your name and office number
3. Figure out what you need to survive , because most of your items will be placed in storage.
4. Take your personal items home

b. Introduction Michael Kwan-President of ASFC

This introduction was rescheduled .

c. FA Liaison

It is of great concern that both Foothill and De Anza College are not able to place Faculty committee members in all their tenure review committees. The point system for Tenure Review is not viable according to both FA and management. PAA will still be awarded.

Foothill needs 17 faculty members \t and De Anza needs 50 faculty members to comply with the Tenure Review requirements of the Agreement. The Academic Senate was asked to consider one less faculty member on each tenure review committee. What was proposed is to have two faculty members, one from the Division, and one at large faculty member and one Division

Dean rather than the Dean and the Vice President on the Tenure Review committee. All faculty were asked to poll their divisions on this possible change.

There was some discussion regarding the four year tenure review process. One of the senators mentioned that there was a report done by the class of 1991 in the archives about what changes and recommendations have been considered for tenure review .

d. Joint Development Group

Changes in hiring policies were discussed by both Senates and it was agreed that both colleges interpret and engage in the hiring policies differently. De Anza will work towards using the Foothill interpretation for its hiring in the future.

e. ETAC

Roxanne Mendrinis will present a full ETAC report next week. Roxanne asked us to be aware of the article posted in ETS' NewsBytes Virus attacks. The latest virus affected PC's with Windows 2000 and Windows NT and slowed the network and shut down district servers. There is a link to Norton Anti-Virus software that needs to be automatically updated. It is recommended that you have a tech person take a look at your PC to be sure the links have been updated.

5. Committee Members &/Or Ratification Needed

Tenure Review At Large faculty still needed:

Robert Cormia, CTIS

Leticia Delgado-Counseling

Ross Gerry-PE

Kara Giannetto-P.E.

Sherrie Manis-L.A.

Todd Peterson-P.E.

Kristen Tripp- F.A.

Julion Riveria-Montanez-L.A.

The following items deferred until the next Senate Meeting

5. Old Business

a. Goals of the Senate-Burning Issues

This was deferred to another time for discussion.

b. Sentinel Resolution

See attached revised draft resolution of the Foothill Sentinel has is attached

6. New Business

a. Honorarium re: Learning Community

This was deferred for discussion at our next Academic Senate meeting.

8. Hearings

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 PM.