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# How to Research and Analyze Disproportionate Impact Data 

## ASCCC Student Equity and Success Regional Meeting American River College September 26, 2014

## Overview

- What is equity?
- What is disproportionate impact?
- What is required for the State Student Equity Plan?
- How can the student equity data be shared?


## Terminology

- Goal: Develop, implement, and evaluate a student equity plan
- Definition: Occurs "though environments in which each person...has a reasonable chance to fully develop his or her potential..." (Title 5 Section 66010.2c) regardless of race, gender, age, disability, or economic circumstances
- Meaning: Determine whether historically underrepresented groups have equal opportunity for access, success and transfer


## Equality vs. Equity



## EQUALITY=SAMENESS

GIVING EVERYONE THE SAME
THING $\rightarrow$ It only works if
everyone starts from the same place


EQUITY=FAIRNESS
ACCESS to SAME
OPPORTUNITIES $\rightarrow$ We must first ensure equity before we can enjoy equality

## Terminology

- Goal: Analyze whethe disproportionate impact occurs across specific outcome measures
- Definition: "A condition where access to key resources and support or academic success may be hampered by inequitable practices, policies and approaches to student support or instructional practices affecting a specific group." (CCCCO Student Equity Plan template, 2014)
- Meaning: Whether certain student groups experience the same outcomes at different rates


## Disproportionate Impact

- How determined: 1) identify the number of students for each subgroup, 2) identify the number of students for each subgroup who achieved the outcome of interest
- Example:

Students


## Proportionality Index

- Definition: Compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in an initial cohort to its own percentage in the resultant outcome group.
- Meaning: Outcome percentage/Cohort percentage


## Proportionality Index



## Proportionality Index

- Calculation:
- Each subgroup cohort divided by all students to determine subgroup percentage rate
- Each subgroup cohort achievement outcome divided by all students achieving outcome to determine subgroup outcome percentage rate
- Divide subgroup percentage rate by subgroup outcome percentage rate
- Index Scores



## Proportionality Index

- Advantage:
- Easy to calculate
- Allows for discussion about where disproportionate impact occurs
- Disadvantage:
- Does not specify at what point disproportionate impact occurs


## 80\% Index

- Context: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC); used in Title VII enforcement by federal government (part of the 1964 Civil Rights Act)
- Definition: A selection rate for any race, sex, or ethnic group which is less than four-fifths (4/5) (or $80 \%$ ) of the rate for the group with the highest rate will generally be regarded as evidence of disparate impact, while a greater than four-fifths rate will generally not be regarded as evidence of disparate impact.


## 80\% Index

- Meaning: Percentage of each disaggregated subgroup attaining an outcome/percentage attained by the reference subgroup


## 80\% Index

- Example: Students



Subgroup cohort
achieving outcome


80\% Index
Subgroup Outcome Rate divided by
Reference Group Outcome Rate

## 80\% Index

- Calculation:
- Each subgroup cohort achieving outcome divided by respective subgroup cohort
- Identify reference group by comparing the outcome rates among the subgroups
- Highest subgroup cohort outcome rate is reference group
- Divide outcome rate of other subgroups with the reference group outcome rate
- Less than $80 \%$ is considered disproportionate impact


## 80\% Index

- Advantage:
- Provides a cutoff point of $80 \%$ to consider disproportionate impact
- Disadvantage:
- Can be subject to sampling error if sample size is small in that it cannot be determined if disproportionate impact truly exists or if the results are due to chance
- Having a set cutoff point can limit discussion regarding about disproportionate impact


## Student Equity Plan Data

- Data required for the state plan:
- Access
- Course Completion
- ESL and Basic Skills Completion
- Degree and Certificate Completion
- Transfer
- Each indicator to be disaggregated by:
- Age, Ethnicity, Gender
- Disability, Income
- Foster Youth, Veteran


## Student Equity Plan Data Sources

- CCCCO DataMart
- Access (student demographics)
- Course Completion
- Basic Skills Tracker
- Transfer Velocity
- CCCCO Student Success Scorecard
- Access (student demographics)
- Basic Skills/Remedial Progress
- Transfer
- MIS Data via Data on Demand
- Additional Data Sources
- US Census
- California Department of Finance
- Internal college data/records
- Your friendly campus or district research office $;$



## Presenting the Data

| San Diego Mesa College Equity Dashboard 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic and Academic Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access/Success Indicator | Gender | Ethnicity | Age | DSPS Status | Economically Disadvantaged | Veteran | Foster Youth |
| Access | $\bigcirc$ | * | -- | -- | -- | $\bigcirc$ | -- |
| Course Retention | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Course Success | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Remedial English | - | - | - | - | - | - | ** |
| Remedial Math | - | - | - | - | - | - | ** |
| Remedial ESL | - | - | - | - | - | ** | ** |
| Degree Completion | - | - | - | - | - | - | ** |
| Transfer | - | - | - | - | - | - | ** |

*College student population was more diverse than the surrounding service area (City of San Diego)
**Small number of cases (fewer than 10 cases in the original cohort) or data not available

| Red | At least one proportionality index below .80 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Yellow | At least one proportionality index between .80 and .89 |
| Green | All proportionality indices above .90 |

## Visuals can be helpful in digesting large amounts of data

## Presenting the Plan

## Student Equity Plan Summary <br> Indicator: COURSE COMPLETION

## Campus Based Research

## Dsmorornovate invact

er shate raq-iremerk, diaproportonite mpact a culculated Two wary: the $80 \%$ and proportienality indews
the sow inder
Inci: tqual tmployment Opportunt, Cammsson (tEOC) vsed in Tille VII enforcement by federal goverme foart of the 1954 Clill Rights Act)

- Definition: Evibence of disparste impact octurs when any race, sex or ethnic grow experiences wactess rates less than four-fiths ( $4 / 5$ ) (or $\mathrm{a0SG}$ of the rate for the group with Be highest rate.

The Propartionality index:
Definition: Compares the pertertage of a doagrepaed subgroup in an intial cahort to is rom percentage in the esulant outcome grow
Meaxing: Outoome pertercage/Cohort percombage:

## DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Table 8-6. foothill College Course Completien Rates and sesk inder by Ethnichy, Fall 2011

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | birs -at c:- | Svomiaur | 3xemere | antos |
| Fran haten | 0 | \% | stu\% | 5Es |
| ane can re. | 8 | 13 | sama | 830 |
| Sinl | (2) | 2se | Fsess | © 4 |
| Hesurs. | $\therefore \%$ | د61 | star | sx |
| Nubllicest | - -1 | -20 | sovs | 258 |
| Fateliduck | 210 | 100 | \%r: | 2ss |
| UnkTown | 288 | 2.10 | mm | 100 |
| Whathuentiouk | 101 | a>0 | 78859 | 134 |

Analysis:
Sfrican American, ISspanic and Pacific Islander students all experience a diggroportionate impact in course completien succens the success rate for highest grow-in this case. "Unkrown" students

## FUNDING

President Miner donated 55,000 to the 5todent Epuly Workgroup at its inception
The State of Califormia has allocited 570 millom to the Califomia Commurity Colege system to promote equiti effors.

## GOALS

adsress the achievement [ap
Sot the noes three (3) years the extre campus will work Dirctease course compietion success rates for Alricar A-encas Wisanic/laino ans Fipino/Pactic Islander sucents by 3W lover for 2013 tiqures)

## kesearch and colliborate:

Coerginute wish the Once of Research to gather more sata to better understans the course wuccess rates and grobation rates for Rhican-American, Hispanic/latino and Filipiso/Pacific Islander studerts and explore pensile casses and/or correlations for the disproportionste impacts,
Coordinute w wh the Office of Research to understand Why males are more likely to end up on probation eve. foupt there is redive paray in the course success
Coothrie wh the otles
cortinte wh the of Research and other cartus departments to idensily sessble reasens why Alicar 2mericant Hispaniktatind, and filbinapiachic Oigroportionate impacts as they antempt to compiete ohropordistate impacts as they athemp: fo compee ant
Ide-sth, support and buld on efforts already being mplemented to mirgate dsproportionate impacts in these procers.
Acrumes
Tean 1 (2014-2015)
ISentify speofic activities that will inctrase the course completion suctess rates of Afrian Amerikan, Latifi and Flipino/Pactic islander students.
Colaborate wth the Frogram Review Committee to identify programs and disciplines with low suckess rates for Atrican smerican Latino, and flipipno/Pacilc Islander students. The work with pros staff and ast-instrators to identify pot surcess of thent ctusents a plan for inc
Colesorntew the off
Colaborste wet the Ofice of Researct an equity research plan that will ident, and wolict studers inp.ty in idertifyng: and practices that help them wocceed Workwet President's Office to detern Work with Presisent's Otfice to deter:
Footsil College can participate in thes Scorecard throuith the USC Censer for Escelience.

## Academic Senate

for Catifornia Community Colleges

## Summary

- Both proportionality and $80 \%$ index are recommended by Chancellor's Office to help measure disproportionate impact
- College to determine disproportionate impact when using proportionality index
- College to comment on each indicator and accompanying analysis-then identify goals, activities and expected outcomes especially if there is disproportionate impact identified


## For Consideration

- Make the Student Equity Plan meaningful at the local level
- Collection of initial reactions, second-level questions, and suggestions for investigating and addressing each area of disproportionate impact
- Conduct further investigation on student groups unique to your population
- Sustained discussion and engagement


## Thank you!

