

FOOTHILL COLLEGE
Operations Planning
Committee

<https://foothill.edu/gov/opc/>



FOOTHILL
COLLEGE

Minutes

Date: Monday, April 16, 2018

Time: 1:00-2:00 p.m.

President's Conference Room (FH 1901)

Time	Topic	Discussion Leader	Expected Outcome
1:00-1:05p	A. Review and approve previous meeting's minutes. https://foothill.edu/gov/opc/pdf/OPC_Minutes_03-19-18.pdf	Watson	Approval
1:05-1:20p	B. State Apportionment Formula Update.	Watson	Information
1:20-1:35p	C. Potential Future Bond Update.	Watson	Information
1:35-2:55p	D. Resource Request Status Update.	Watson	Information
1:55-2:00p	E. Set next meeting date. Please bring your calendars.	Watson	Confirm date

Committee email address list:

Bret Watson	watsonbret@fhda.edu
Debbie Lee	leedebbie@fhda.edu
Denise Perez	perezdenise@fhda.edu
Ram Subramaniam	subramaniamram@fhda.edu
Nanette Solvason	solvasonnanette@fhda.edu
Christine Mangiameli	mangiamelichristine@fhda.edu
Amy Edwards	edwardsamy@fhda.edu
Elaine Kuo	kuoelaine@fhda.edu

Additional resources:

Nazy Galoyan	galoyannazy@fhda.edu
Simon Pennington	penningtonsimon@fhda.edu
Teresa Ong	ongteresa@fhda.edu
Elias Regalado	regaladoelias@fhda.edu
Kristy Lisle	lislekristy@fhda.edu
Mike Mohebbi (note taker)	mohebbimike@fhda.edu

Student Representative:

Chinwe Idika

A. Review minutes

Approved.

B. State Apportionment

Watson begins discussion on State apportionment formula. The first proposed formula was weighted 50% FTES, 25% low income enrollment, and 25% student outcomes/completion with one year of hold harmless during the transition from prior formula to new. There is no final formula yet because negotiations still ongoing at the state level. Currently the latest version of the apportionment formula is weighted 50% FTES, 30% low income, and 20% completion/outcomes. Policymakers and stakeholders are still analyzing data on how the new formula may impact colleges.

Group asks how Foothill will be impacted; Watson reports that there is no modeling that has been made publicly available so there is no definitive answer. The State Chancellor's office has reported that 20 community colleges will be negatively impacted by the new formula (reduced apportionment funding) but they have not released which colleges or the formula modeling that they used. Denise Perez notes that the increase in the low-income percentage weight (from 25-30%) will negatively impact Foothill since that is not traditionally a category where Foothill sees a lot of enrollment. Group also discusses the impact of the formula weight and requirements for completion or outcomes.

Kristy Lisle reports that she attended CIO meetings last week and the consulting company gave presentations that showed the state is not yet prepared to finalize the funding formula. Watson says that information is corroborated by the reports and information he has received from the State Chancellor's office. Watson says that formula could be implemented as early as next year, however Lisle reports that there is push from community colleges to allow more time for implementation (for example, when K-12 apportionment formula was changed years ago they implemented it over 7 years).

Watson notes that, not only is the potential formula negatively impacting many colleges, it will also make projecting and planning future budgets more difficult because forecasting additional formula components adds complexity and is more complicated.

C. Potential Future Bond

Watson reviews internal list of possible bond projects with the group. The list has been compiled with input from Facilities, Instruction, ETS, and many other campus and district department stakeholders. It is not publicly available because it has not been approved or reviewed by any campus governance groups. At this stage the list is an organizational tool to begin planning process and provide reference. Focus of this presentation is overall budget and projections of project costs, information relevant to OPC's mission statement and purpose. The total bond budget will be divided between Sunnyvale campus, De Anza, Foothill, and Central Services. Group discusses various potential projects from the list, which mainly focus on campus infrastructure, accessibility and safety, and technology and student support upgrades. Simon Pennington asks when the decision to formally pursue the bond will be made, Watson estimates that official decision whether or not to pursue putting a bond on the November ballot could be made over summer, after June/July.

D. Resource request status update

Need to follow up with Student Services areas for their rankings. Instruction area is working on VP rankings. Finance rankings are also under review. Need to have OPC prioritizations presented for two (2) readings to PaRC (latest June 6 and June 20), could need to add additional OPC meeting hours and days if necessary to meet deadlines.

E. Set next meeting date

May 7 for two hours.