Checklist for Evaluating Compliance with
Federal Regulations and Related Commission Policies

The evaluation items detailed in this Checklist are those which fall specifically under federal regulations and related Commission policies, beyond what is articulated in the Accreditation Standards; there may be other evaluation items under ACCJC standards which address the same or similar subject matter. Evaluation teams will evaluate the institution’s compliance with standards as well as the specific Checklist elements from federal regulations and related Commission policies noted here.

General Instructions: The form should contain narrative as well as the “check-off.”
  a. The team should place a check mark next to each evaluation item when it has been evaluated.
  b. For each subject category (e.g., “Public Notification of an Evaluation Visit and Third Party Comment”), the team should also complete the conclusion check-off.
  c. The narrative will cite to the evidence reviewed and team findings related to each of the evaluation items. If some content is discussed in detail elsewhere in the team report, the page(s) of the team report can be cited instead of repeating that portion of the narrative.
  d. Any areas of deficiency from the Checklist leading to noncompliance, or areas needing improvement, should be included in the evaluation conclusions section of the team report along with any recommendations.

This Checklist will become part of the evaluation team report. Institutions may also use this form as a guide for preparing documentation for team review. It is found as an appendix in the team and institutional self evaluation manuals.

Public Notification of an Evaluation Team Visit and Third Party Comment

Evaluation Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The institution has made an appropriate and timely effort to solicit third party comment in advance of a comprehensive evaluation visit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution cooperates with the evaluation team in any necessary follow-up related to the third party comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution demonstrates compliance with the Commission Policy on Rights and Responsibilities of the Commission and Member Institutions as to third party comment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Regulation citation: 602.23(b).]

Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):
The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.

The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.

The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.

Narrative (add space as needed):

Standards and Performance with Respect to Student Achievement

Evaluation Items:

The institution has defined elements of student achievement performance across the institution, and has identified the expected measure of performance within each defined element. Course completion is included as one of these elements of student achievement. Other elements of student achievement performance for measurement have been determined as appropriate to the institution’s mission.

The institution has defined elements of student achievement performance within each instructional program, and has identified the expected measure of performance within each defined element. The defined elements include, but are not limited to, job placement rates for program completers, and for programs in fields where licensure is required, the licensure examination passage rates for program completers.

The institution-set standards for programs and across the institution are relevant to guide self-evaluation and institutional improvement; the defined elements and expected performance levels are appropriate within higher education; the results are reported regularly across the campus; and the definition of elements and results are used in program-level and institution-wide planning to evaluate how well the institution fulfills its mission, to determine needed changes, to allocating resources, and to make improvements.

The institution analyzes its performance as to the institution-set standards and as to student achievement, and takes appropriate measures in areas where its performance is not at the expected level.

[Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(i); 602.17(f); 602.19 (a-e).]
**Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):**

- [ ] The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.

- [ ] The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.

- [ ] The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.

**Narrative (add space as needed):**

### Credits, Program Length, and Tuition

#### Evaluation Items:

- [ ] Credit hour assignments and degree program lengths are within the range of good practice in higher education (in policy and procedure).

- [ ] The assignment of credit hours and degree program lengths is verified by the institution, and is reliable and accurate across classroom based courses, laboratory classes, distance education classes, and for courses that involve clinical practice (if applicable to the institution).

- [ ] Tuition is consistent across degree programs (or there is a rational basis for any program-specific tuition).

- [ ] Any clock hour conversions to credit hours adhere to the Department of Education’s conversion formula, both in policy and procedure, and in practice.

- [ ] The institution demonstrates compliance with the Commission *Policy on Institutional Degrees and Credits*.

[Regulation citations: 600.2 (definition of credit hour); 602.16(a)(1)(viii); 602.24(e), (f); 668.2; 668.9.]

**Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):**

- [ ] The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.

- [ ] The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.

- [ ] The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.
Transfer Policies

Evaluation Items:

☐ Transfer policies are appropriately disclosed to students and to the public.

☐ Policies contain information about the criteria the institution uses to accept credits for transfer.

☐ The institution complies with the Commission Policy on Transfer of Credit.

[Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(viii); 602.17(a)(3); 602.24(e); 668.43(a)(ii).]

Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):

☐ The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.

☐ The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.

☐ The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.

Narrative (add space as needed):
Distance Education and Correspondence Education

Evaluation Items:

☐ The institution has policies and procedures for defining and classifying a course as offered by distance education or correspondence education, in alignment with USDE definitions.

☐ There is an accurate and consistent application of the policies and procedures for determining if a course is offered by distance education (with regular and substantive interaction with the instructor, initiated by the instructor, and online activities are included as part of a student’s grade) or correspondence education (online activities are primarily “paperwork related,” including reading posted materials, posting homework and completing examinations, and interaction with the instructor is initiated by the student as needed).

☐ The institution has appropriate means and consistently applies those means for verifying the identity of a student who participates in a distance education or correspondence education course or program, and for ensuring that student information is protected.

☐ The technology infrastructure is sufficient to maintain and sustain the distance education and correspondence education offerings.

☐ The institution demonstrates compliance with the Commission Policy on Distance Education and Correspondence Education.

[Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(iv), (vi); 602.17(g); 668.38.]

Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):

☐ The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.

☐ The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.

☐ The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.

Narrative (add space as needed):
# Student Complaints

## Evaluation Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The institution has clear policies and procedures for handling student complaints, and the current policies and procedures are accessible to students in the college catalog and online.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The student complaint files for the previous six years (since the last comprehensive evaluation) are available; the files demonstrate accurate implementation of the complaint policies and procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The team analysis of the student complaint files identifies any issues that may be indicative of the institution’s noncompliance with any Accreditation Standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution posts on its website the names of associations, agencies and governmental bodies that accredit, approve, or license the institution and any of its programs, and provides contact information for filing complaints with such entities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution demonstrates compliance with the Commission Policy on Representation of Accredited Status and the Policy on Student and Public Complaints Against Institutions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(ix); 668.43.]

## Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Narrative (add space as needed):
### Institutional Disclosure and Advertising and Recruitment Materials

**Evaluation Items:**

| ☐ | The institution provides accurate, timely (current), and appropriately detailed information to students and the public about its programs, locations, and policies. |
| ☐ | The institution complies with the Commission Policy on Institutional Advertising, Student Recruitment, and Representation of Accredited Status. |
| ☐ | The institution provides required information concerning its accredited status as described above in the section on Student Complaints. |

[Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(vii); 668.6.]

**Conclusion Check-Off (mark one):**

| ☐ | The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements. |
| ☐ | The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended. |
| ☐ | The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements. |

**Narrative (add space as needed):**
Title IV Compliance

Evaluation Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Components of the Title IV Program, including findings from any audits and program or other review activities by the USDE.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution has addressed any issues raised by the USDE as to financial responsibility requirements, program record-keeping, etc. If issues were not timely addressed, the institution demonstrates it has the fiscal and administrative capacity to timely address issues in the future and to retain compliance with Title IV program requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution’s student loan default rates are within the acceptable range defined by the USDE. Remedial efforts have been undertaken when default rates near or meet a level outside the acceptable range.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractual relationships of the institution to offer or receive educational, library, and support services meet the Accreditation Standards and have been approved by the Commission through substantive change if required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The institution demonstrates compliance with the Commission Policy on Contractual Relationships with Non-Regionally Accredited Organizations and the Policy on Institutional Compliance with Title IV.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Regulation citations: 602.16(a)(1)(v); 602.16(a)(1)(x); 602.19(b); 668.5; 668.15; 668.16; 668.71 et seq.]

Conclusion Check-Off:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The team has reviewed the elements of this component and has found the institution to meet the Commission’s requirements, but that follow-up is recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The team has reviewed the elements of this component and found the institution does not meet the Commission’s requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Narrative (add space as needed):