FHDA Program Review

Limits: Term Type Summer Fall Winter Spring Early Summer

| Enrollment Trends Geospatial Technology-FH | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 3-yr %lnc |
| Unduplicated Headcount | 51 | 79 | 65 | 27.5% |
| Enrollment | 93 | 165 | 198 | 112.9% |
| Sections | 5 | 9 | 9 | 80.0% |
| WSCH | 428 | 804 | 978 | 128.5% |
| FTES | 10 | 18 | 22 | 125.7% |
| FTEF | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 139.4% |
| Productivity | 349 | 274 | 333 | -4.5% |
| | | | | |

Faculty Workload Geospatial Technology-FH

| | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 3-yr %lnc |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Full Time Load | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | N/A |
| Full Time % | 0.0% | 16.9% | 22.8% | N/A |
| Overload | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 210% |
| Overload % | 24.5% | 16.9% | 31.7% | 30% |
| Part Time Load | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 44% |

| Part Time % | 75.5% | 66.1% | 45.6% | -40% |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Total FTEF | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 139% |
| | | | | |

Course Success

Geospatial Technology-FH

| | 2013-14 | | 2014-1 | 5 | 2015-16 | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | |
| Success | 82 | 88% | 144 | 87% | 167 | 84% | |
| Non Success | 5 | 5% | 8 | 5% | 14 | 7% | |
| Withdrew | 6 | 6% | 13 | 8% | 17 | 9% | |
| Total | 93 | 100% | 165 | 100% | 198 | 100% | |

| | Со | urse Success fo | or Targeted Gro | oups | | | |
|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | 2013-1 | 2013-14 | | 5 | 2015-16 | | |
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | |
| Success | 13 | 81% | 29 | 76% | 42 | 86% | |
| Non Success | 2 | 13% | 4 | 11% | 3 | 6% | |
| Withdrew | 1 | 6% | 5 | 13% | 4 | 8% | |
| Total | 16 | 100% | 38 | 100% | 49 | 100% | |
| | I | I | | | | | |

Course Success for Not Targeted Groups

| | 2013-14 | | 2014-1 | 5 | 2015-16 | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| _ | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | |
| Success | 69 | 90% | 115 | 91% | 125 | 84% | |
| Non Success | 3 | 4% | 4 | 3% | 11 | 7% | |
| Withdrew | 5 | 6% | 8 | 6% | 13 | 9% | |
| Total | 77 | 100% | 127 | 100% | 149 | 100% | |
| ľ | | | | | | | |

FHDA Program Review - page 2 of 6 - 10/20/2016

Enrollment Distribution by Student Demographics Geospatial Technology-FH

| | | | by Gende | er | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 20 | 13-14 | | 2014-15 | | 201 | L5-16 | | | | |
| | Enr | Percent | Enr | | Percent | Enr | Percent | | | | |
| Female | 56 | 60% | 71 | | 43% | 98 | 49% | | | | |
| Male | 37 | 40% | 94 | | 57% | 100 | 51% | | | | |
| Total | 93 | 100% | 165 | | 100% | 198 | 100% | | | | |
| by Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | | | 2015-16 | | | | | |
| | | Enr | Percent | Enr | Perce | nt Enr | Percent | | | | |
| African American | | 4 | 4% | 0 | C | % 1 | 1% | | | | |
| Asian | | 10 | 11% | 25 | 15 | % 53 | 27% | | | | |
| Filipino | | 1 | 1% | 17 | 10 | % 12 | 6% | | | | |
| Latino/a | | 11 | 12% | 21 | 13 | % 36 | 18% | | | | |
| Native American | | 0 | 0% | 4 | 2 | % 3 | 2% | | | | |
| White | | 66 | 71% | 97 | 59 | % 86 | 43% | | | | |
| Decline to State | | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1 | % 7 | 4% | | | | |
| Total | | 93 | 100% | 165 | 100 | % 198 | 100% | | | | |

| | 20 | 13-14 | | 2014-15 | | 2015-16 | | |
|--------------------|-----|----------------|-------------|---------|------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| - | Enr | Percent | Enr | | Percent | Enr | Percent | |
| 19 or less | 1 | 1% | 6 | | 4% | 0 | 0% | |
| 20-24 | 27 | 29% | 34 | | 21% | 14 | 7% | |
| 25-39 | 33 | 35% | 95 | | 58% | 139 | 70% | |
| 40 + | 32 | 34% | 30 | | 18% | 45 | 23% | |
| Total | 93 | 100% | 165 | | 100% | 198 | 100% | |
| | _ | 2013-14 Enr | lucation Le | | 14-15 Percent | 201 Enr | 5-16 Percent | |
| Bachelor or higher | | 65 | 70% | 101 | 61% | 164 | 83% | |
| Associate | _ | 9 | 10% | 9 | 5% | 1 | 1% | |
| HS/Equivalent | | 17 | 18% | 52 | 32% | 32 | 16% | |
| All Other | _ | 2 | 2% | 3 | 2% | 1 | 1% | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Success Rates by Gender Geospatial Technology-FH

| | 2015-16 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | Success | | Non Success | | Withdr | Withdrew | | I | | |
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | | |
| Female | 88 | 90% | 7 | 7% | 3 | 3% | 98 | 100% | | |
| Male | 79 | 79% | 7 | 7% | 14 | 14% | 100 | 100% | | |
| All | 167 | 84% | 14 | 7% | 17 | 9% | 198 | 100% | | |

2014-15

| Success | | Non Success | | Withdrew | | Total | |
|---------|---------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| rades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 60 | 85% | 4 | 6% | 7 | 10% | 71 | 100% |
| 84 | 89% | 4 | 4% | 6 | 6% | 94 | 100% |
| 144 | 87% | 8 | 5% | 13 | 8% | 165 | 100% |
| | 84 | 6085%8489% | 60 85% 4 84 89% 4 | 60 85% 4 6% 84 89% 4 4% | 60 85% 4 6% 7 84 89% 4 4% 6 | 60 85% 4 6% 7 10% 84 89% 4 4% 6 6% | 60 85% 4 6% 7 10% 71 84 89% 4 4% 6 6% 94 |

2013-14

| | Success | | Non Success | | Withdr | Withdrew | | I |
|--------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|----------|--------|---------|
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Female | 51 | 91% | 2 | 4% | 3 | 5% | 56 | 100% |
| Male | 31 | 84% | 3 | 8% | 3 | 8% | 37 | 100% |
| AII | 82 | 88% | 5 | 5% | 6 | 6% | 93 | 100% |

FHDA Program Review - page 4 of 6 - 10/20/2016

Success Rates by Age Geospatial Technology-FH

| | | 2015-16 | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Succe | 255 | Non Suc | cess | Withdr | ew | Total | | | | |
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | | | |
| 19 or less | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 100% | | | |
| 20-24 | 10 | 71% | 1 | 7% | 3 | 21% | 14 | 100% | | | |
| 25-39 | 117 | 84% | 11 | 8% | 11 | 8% | 139 | 100% | | | |
| 40 + | 40 | 89% | 2 | 4% | 3 | 7% | 45 | 100% | | | |
| AII | 167 | 84% | 14 | 7% | 17 | 9% | 198 | 100% | | | |
| | | • | | • | | • | | | | | |

2014-15

| | Success | | Non Suc | cess | s Withdrew | | Total | |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 5 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 6 | 100% |
| 20-24 | 27 | 79% | 5 | 15% | 2 | 6% | 34 | 100% |
| 25-39 | 86 | 91% | 2 | 2% | 7 | 7% | 95 | 100% |
| 40 + | 26 | 87% | 1 | 3% | 3 | 10% | 30 | 100% |
| AII | 144 | 87% | 8 | 5% | 13 | 8% | 165 | 100% |
| | | • | | · | | • | | |

2013-14

| Success | | Non Suc | Non Success | | Withdrew | | Total | |
|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--|
| Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | |

| 19 or less | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
|------------|----|------|---|----|---|----|----|------|
| 20-24 | 23 | 85% | 2 | 7% | 2 | 7% | 27 | 100% |
| 25-39 | 28 | 85% | 2 | 6% | 3 | 9% | 33 | 100% |
| 40 + | 30 | 94% | 1 | 3% | 1 | 3% | 32 | 100% |
| AII | 82 | 88% | 5 | 5% | 6 | 6% | 93 | 100% |
| | | ľ | | ľ | | | 1 | |

Success Rates by Ethnicity Geospatial Technology-FH

| 2015-16 | |
|---------|--|
|---------|--|

| | Success | | Non Su | Non Success | | Withdrew | | Total | |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--|
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | |
| African American | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | |
| Asian | 48 | 91% | 2 | 4% | 3 | 6% | 53 | 100% | |
| Filipino | 10 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 17% | 12 | 100% | |
| Latino/a | 31 | 86% | 3 | 8% | 2 | 6% | 36 | 100% | |
| Native American | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% | |
| White | 67 | 78% | 9 | 10% | 10 | 12% | 86 | 100% | |
| Decline to State | 7 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% | |
| All | 167 | 84% | 14 | 7% | 17 | 9% | 198 | 100% | |
| | | I | | | | | | | |

2014-15

| | Grades | |
|---|--------|---------|
| Grades Percent Grades Percent Grades Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American0N/A0N/A | 0 | 100% |
| Asian 22 88% 1 4% 2 8% | 25 | 100% |
| Filipino 16 94% 0 0% 1 6% | 17 | 100% |
| Latino/a 13 62% 4 19% 4 19% | 21 | 100% |
| Native American 2 50% 1 25% 1 25% | 4 | 100% |
| White 91 94% 2 2% 4 4% | 97 | 100% |
| Decline to State 0 0% 0 1 100% | 1 | 100% |
| All 144 87% 8 5% 13 8% | 165 | 100% |

2013-14

| | Success | | Non Success | | Withdrew | | Total | |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| Asian | 7 | 70% | 1 | 10% | 2 | 20% | 10 | 100% |
| Filipino | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| Latino/a | 9 | 82% | 2 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| Native American | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 100% |
| White | 61 | 92% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 5% | 66 | 100% |
| Decline to State | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| All | 82 | 88% | 5 | 5% | 6 | 6% | 93 | 100% |
| | | | | | | | | |