

May 18, 2010 Minutes

Attending:

Dolores Davison Darya Gilani Kurt Hueg John Mummert Leslye Noone Eloise Orrell Chris White Pam Wilkes

Discussion:

Dolores- Concerns- new governance handbook awareness, the entirety of the process, people don't care, faculty need to be able to describe the process to the accrediting team, some people won't respond, others just won't, do survey anonymously. OPC was planned to be the original clearinghouse. In last year's task force, the whole idea was to go through it for a year. And ask questions on how to balance the SIPs and Missions.

John- Is it the quality of the information we receive that we are concerned about? If John knew B budget was going to cover his request, it didn't go forward. There were some good pieces in Rountable- the mission oriented stuff.

Kurt- Shirley's group should be able to filter those requests

Pam- consider invite ex-SIP members to join in on the process, seemed as if the Deans had different understandings of what needed to be put forward. Many of the divisions used different ground rules on what to put forward.

Chris- Issues with the whole structure, resource requests not tied to program reviews, disconnected. SIP members didn't think that that would be their only purpose, would rather pick one or two goals or initiatives tied to a program review and work toward bridging those gaps. Prioritizing the requests: need distinction between necessary for accreditation and not necessary. Next issue was timing, move the dates to build in time for meaningful discussion. If you say it's a zero-based budget and don't automatically build these funds into a budget, then the process is not set up correctly. Hard for students to participate in the process if they are not informed on how it works.

Action:

The committee reviewed and updated the IP&B survey to go out to PaRC.

Important Dates:
Survey goes out June 2
Survey closes June 11
Re-convene task force on 15
PaRC/SIP on 16 to review results
Holding an all PaRC/SIP meeting