

FH Integrated Planning Survey Spring 2010

2009-2010 Foothill College Integrated Planning and Budget Process Survey

The first year of our new Integrated Planning and Budget Structure is coming to a close, and with that we want to use this opportunity to gather feedback from all of those involved.

This survey will be used as our Assessment of the process to move forward with a continuous improvement of the structure. Please provide us with your suggestions and feedback to make the structure more informed, efficient, and transparent in the new Academic Year.

This survey closes on June 11th at 5:00 pm.



Process Overview

Background of the Integrated Planning and Budget Structure

In the Winter and Spring of the 2008-2009 year, Foothill spent many weeks collaboratively developing a new Integrated Planning and Budget Structure that is founded on supporting both increased student learning and success as well as progress towards our Initiatives and Core Missions.

Results from conversations and a survey were shared with each of the Strategic Initiative Planning Committees for them to use in drafting action plans and goals. Once drafted, these goals were shared with the college community for further review before adoption. These "commitments to action" along with the hard work of Departments and Programs who completed Program Review helped to guide college planning, including decisions on where to focus resources (financial, human, technological, and facilities).

As the new structure and its elements have fallen into place, the emphasis from PaRC and the President has been on creating a process to link core missions and continuous improvement of student learning with how the college uses its resources to guide the future.

For more information on the history of the Integrated Planning and Budget Structure, visit:
http://www.foothill.edu/staff/irs/IPBP/docs/strategicplanning_final.pdf

For a copy of the latest Governance Handbook, click here:
http://www.foothill.fhda.edu/staff/irs/Approved_GHB_040710.pdf

And to get more involved and stay informed, visit the President's Governance site, here:
<http://www.foothill.edu/president/governance.php>

The Planning Process

I am a/an:

- Student
- Classified Staff Member
- Part-Time Faculty
- Full-Time Faculty
- Administrator

Primary Campus:

- Foothill
- Middlefield

Select all planning committees you were a member of in 2009-2010

- PaRC
- Strategic Initiative Planning Committee (SIP)
- Operations Planning Committee (OPC)
- ASFC
- Academic Senate
- Classified Senate
- (none of the above)

Process Overview

Select all activities in which you were directly involved

- Writing SLOs/SAOs/AUOs
- Assessing SLOs/SAOs/AUOs
- Reflecting on SLOs/SAOs/AUOs
- Writing Program Review/Plan for your area
- Discussion of Program Review/Plan for your area
- Drafting/compiling resource and/or faculty requests
- Discussion and/or prioritization of resource and faculty requests

To what degree have you seen the Learning Outcomes (SLOs, SAOs, or AUOs) integrated into the college planning process:

- Not integrated at all
- Slightly integrated
- Moderately integrated
- Highly integrated

To what degree have you seen Program Review integrated into the college planning process:

- Not integrated at all
- Slightly integrated
- Moderately integrated
- Highly integrated

To what degree have you seen the Strategic Initiative Planning groups (Building a Community of Scholars, Promoting a Collaborative Decision Making Environment and Putting Access Into Action) integrated into the college planning process:

- Not integrated at all
- Slightly integrated
- Moderately integrated
- Highly integrated

FH Integrated Planning Survey Spring 2010

To what degree have you seen Foothill's core missions (Basic Skills, Transfer, Workforce and Stewardship of Resources) integrated into the college planning process:

Not integrated at all

Slightly integrated

Moderately integrated

Highly integrated

Resource Allocation and Specific Processes

Regarding resource and staffing requests, to what degree do you feel learning outcomes (SLOs, SAOs, or AUOs) were integrated into the allocation process:

- Not integrated at all
- Slightly integrated
- Moderately integrated
- Highly integrated

Regarding resource and staffing requests, to what degree do you feel program review plans were integrated into the allocation process:

- Not integrated at all
- Slightly integrated
- Moderately integrated
- Highly integrated

If you actively participated in a resource or staffing prioritization process (at a department, division, or committee level), indicate to what extent you felt adequately prepared in making a recommendation.

- Not prepared at all
- Slightly prepared
- Moderately prepared
- Highly prepared
- (did not participate)

Thinking of your answer above, indicate which of the following would have helped you to feel more prepared, please check all that apply:

- Further/clearer instructions
- Additional time
- Additional information or data
- Ability to ask questions of request makers

Other (please specify)

FH Integrated Planning Survey Spring 2010

Thinking about the following aspects of planning, please indicate to what extent you agree with each of the following statements

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The Integrated Planning and Budget structure was clear to me	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Program Review instructions were clear	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Program Review components were thorough	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Adequate time was given to complete Program Review	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Data provided was sufficient to complete Program Review	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Program Reviews were used in decision making	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Resource and staffing request forms were clear and simple to complete	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Adequate time was given to complete resource and staffing requests	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
All members of your area were encouraged to be involved in planning	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Discussion and decisions were data driven and supported by sound evidence	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
Overall I'm satisfied with the Integrated Planning and Budget structure	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0
I was an effective participant in the Integrated Planning and Budget structure	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0	J0

Other (please specify)

FH Integrated Planning Survey Spring 2010

PaRC and SIP Processes

This question only for members of PaRC (skip this question if not a member).

Consider your experience on this planning committee. For each statement below please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with each of the statements.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Agendas and Minutes were distributed in a timely manner	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
Discussions usually followed the agenda	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
Committees completed the agendas efficiently	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
Co-Chairs were effective in managing committee meetings and work	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
Our committee had appropriate information to make informed decisions	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
Discussions were data driven and supported by evidence	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
All of my constituents had a chance to participate	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
All of members of this committee participated	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
There was a sense of respect between members	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ
Meetings were positive and constructive	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ	jñ

Other (please specify)

5

6

FH Integrated Planning Survey Spring 2010

This question only for members of a Strategic Initiative Planning Committee (SIP group) (skip this question if not a member).

Consider your experience on this planning committee. For each statement below please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with each of the statements.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Agendas and Minutes were distributed in a timely manner	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
Discussions usually followed the agenda	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
Committees completed the agendas efficiently	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
Co-Chairs were effective in managing committee meetings and work	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
Our committee had appropriate information to make informed decisions	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
Discussions were data driven and supported by evidence	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
All of my constituents had a chance to participate	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
All of members of this committee participated	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
There was a sense of respect between members	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn
Meetings were positive and constructive	jn	jn	jn	jn	jn

Other (please specify)

Additional Comments

Please give us any additional comments or information that can help to improve the Integrated Planning and Budget Structure.

Thank You

Thank You!

Thank you for your time. These are all the questions we have for you today. Simply click "Done" and then you may close your internet browser.

